

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,034	11/21/2003	Alex T. Roth	HRT0165C1	3160
27777	7590 11/29/2005		EXAM	INER
PHILIP S. JOHNSON			ISABELLA, DAVID J	
JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			
			D. 400 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	_

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			€ .				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/719,034	ROTH, ALEX T.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		DAVID J. ISABELLA	3738				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
WHI0 - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING censions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR rSIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start reply received by the Office later than three months after the mand patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION.  ly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•				
1)  🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	9 August 2005.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-5,7-16 and 18-20 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)区 Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-16 and 18-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	, ,						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	id/or election requirement.	•				
Applicat	tion Papers		•				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to						
4.0	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached t	Office Action of form PTO-152:				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore		19(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur		sceived in this National Stage				
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a		eceived				
	See the attached detailed office action for a	not of the continue copies not re	•				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	·	mmary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB	5) Notice of Info	Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	÷				

Art Unit: 3738

#### Status of the Claims

Applicant's response filed on 8/19/2005 amends the language to claims 1,12 and 19. Claims 6,17 and 21-42 have been cancelled.

Claims 1-5 and 7-16,18-20.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,3,4,5,8,11,12,13,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Adair (4878485).

Adair discloses an assembly for visualization and acçess within a body cavity comprising:

a sleeve 30 having a distal end 32, a proximal end 34, and a lumen therebetween;

a scope 10 having a shaft with a distal end 12 and a proximal end 26, the shaft being slidably positionable in the lumen, a channel 16 extending longitudinally through the shaft, and a lens12 in the channel near the distal end,

a transparent bulb 32 disposed at the distal end of the shaft outside of the channel and optically aligned with the lens.

Claim 3, see bulb 32 attached to sheath 30 of Adair.

Art Unit: 3738

Claim 4, see rigid bulb of Adair.

Claim 5, see column 5, lines 1+ of Adair.

Claim 8, the claim is interpreted as the assembly having a predetermined length.

Claim11, see sleeve 30 of Adair.

Claim 12, see rejection to claim 1 supra.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5,7-16,18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adair (4878485) or Mackin in view of Wakabayashi (5176649).

Adair and Mackin disclose an assembly for visualization and access within a body cavity comprising:

a scope (10,5) having a shaft (10,6) with a distal end and a proximal end, a channel (16,11) extending longitudinally through the shaft, and a lens (12,12) in the channel near the distal end,

Art Unit: 3738

a transparent bulb (32,7) mounted to an elongated a sheath/shaft (30,6) having a distal end, a proximal end, and a lumen therebetween and the bulb is disposed at the distal end of the shaft outside of the channel and optically aligned with the lens.

Adair and Mackin do not disclose the combination of a sleeve and the scope wherein the combination would result in the shaft being slidably positionable in the lumen. Wakabayashi teaches the use of a sleeve to aid in the placement of endoscopic type devices thereby eliminating the need for multiple punctures to the tissue. To use a trocar sleeve in combination with the scopes of Adair and Mackin to provide a reusuable tissue port would have been obvious from the teachings of Wakabayashi.

Claim 2, see bulb 7 attached to shaft 6 of Mackin.

Claim 3, see bulb 32 attached to sheath 30 of Adair.

Claim 4, see rigid bulb of Adair.

Claim 5, see column 5, lines 1+ of Adair.

Claim 7, see bulb of Mackin.

Claim 8, the claim is interpreted as the assembly having a predetermined length.

Claim 9, if not inherent, it appears that the length of the assembly of each of Mackin and Adair is at least 15 cm.

Claim 10, see bulb and inflation lumen in fluid communication with bulb of Mackin.

Claim 11, the sleeve of Wakabayashi may be polymer or metal.

Claim 12, see rejection to claim 1 supra.

Art Unit: 3738

Claim.13, see bulb of Adair.

Claim 14, see column 5, lines 1+ of Adair.

Claim 15, see bulb of Mackin.

Claim 16, see bulb of Mackin.

Claim 18, see combination of Wakabayshi and Mackin/Adair.

Claims 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over .

Adair or Mackin modified by Wakabayshi further in view of Marks (5108420).

Adair and Mackin disclose an assembly for visualization and access within a body cavity a scope (10,5) having a shaft (10,6) with a distal end and a proximal end, a channel (16,11) extending longitudinally through the shaft, and a lens (12,12) in the channel near the distal end,

a transparent bulb (32,7) mounted to an elongated a sheath/shaft (30,6) having a distal end, a proximal end, and a lumen therebetween and the bulb is disposed at the distal end of the shaft outside of the channel and optically aligned with the lens.

Adair and Mackin do not disclose the combination of a sleeve, the scope and a septal defect closure device. Wakabayashi teaches the use of a sleeve to aid in the placement of endoscopic type devices thereby eliminating the need for multiple punctures to the tissue. To use a trocar sleeve in combination with the scopes of Adair and Mackin to provide a reusuable tissue port would have been obvious from the teachings of Wakabayashi. And Marks teaches a septal repair device that has a configuration that allows it to be positionable through a lumen of a sleeve as shown, for example, by Wakabayashi. To use the combination of a sleeve, scope and septal repair

Art Unit: 3738

device to perform corrective surgery to the heart wall would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art as an obvious combination of surgical tools/devices based on surgical considerations.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/19/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant provides a statement that neither Adalr. Mackin or Wakabayashi alone or in combination depict or describes the claimed inventions. Examiners disagrees with applicant's statement and therefor the rejection stands. With respect to claims 19 and 20, claim 19 broadly sets forth a "septal defect closure device" which the examiner has interpreted to include any devices that may be deployed through the sleeve.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID J ISABELLA whose telephone number is 703-308-3060. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CORRINE MCDERMOTT can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID J GABELLA Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738

DJI 11/18/2005